

1 NENA W. WONG, ESQ. (CSB No. 162570)  
2 METHOD LAW FIRM, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION  
2945 Townsgate Road, Suite 200  
3 Westlake Village, California 91361  
Telephone: 805-409-8544  
4 Facsimile: 805-409-8545

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
PHOEBE MICRO, INC., a California corporation  
6  
7

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10 **OAKLAND DIVISION**

11  
12 PHOEBE MICRO, INC., a California  
corporation, Individually, and on behalf of all  
13 others similarly situated,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 FRY'S ELECTRONICS, INC., a California  
corporation,

17 Defendant.

18 Case No.: C13-2337-YGR

19 CLASS ACTION

20 Honorable Yvonne G. Rogers

21 **NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL**  
**PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 41(a)(1)**  
22 **AND ORDER**

1 TO ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

2 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff Phoebe Micro, Inc. ("Phoebe"), through its counsel  
3 of record, hereby voluntarily dismisses the action, pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of  
4 Civil Procedure. Defendant Fry's Electronics, Inc. ("Fry's") has not filed an answer or a motion for  
5 summary judgment and this purported class action does not involve a certified class. Thus,  
6 dismissal does not require a Court order.

7 Phoebe filed its complaint against Fry's on May 22, 2013. Phoebe has not filed any motion  
8 seeking certification of a class. Phoebe and its counsel have decided to voluntarily dismiss the  
9 claims of Phoebe without prejudice. Phoebe and its counsel have entered into no agreement with  
10 any defendant in connection with the voluntary dismissal. Neither Phoebe nor its counsel has  
11 received or will receive any consideration for dismissal.

12 Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1), Phoebe is entitled to dismiss this action on  
13 its own initiative:

14 Subject to the provisions of Rule 23(e), 23.1(c), 23.2 an 66 and any applicable  
15 federal statute, the plaintiff may dismiss an action without a court order by filing:

- 16 (i) A notice of dismissal before the opposing party serves either an answer or a  
motion for summary judgment . . . .

17 Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 41(a)(1).

18 Because the proposed class has not been certified under Rule 23, this voluntary dismissal is  
19 not subject to restriction under Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *See* Fed. R. Civ.  
20 Proc. 23(e)(1)(A) ("The claims, issues, or defenses of a *certified class* may be settled, voluntarily  
21 dismissed, or compromised only with the court's approval") (emphasis added).

22 No prejudice to absent putative class members will result from dismissal of the action  
23 because a class has not been certified, the dismissal will not affect their rights, and no absent  
24 putative class member will be bound by the voluntary dismissal of Phoebe's claims.

25 / / /

26 / / /

27 / / /

28 / / /

1 Accordingly, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e)(1)(B) regarding notice is inapplicable  
2 to the instant dismissal as none of the absent putative class members would be bound:

3 The court must direct notice in a reasonable manner to all class members who would  
4 be bound by a proposed settlement, voluntary dismissal, or compromise.

5 Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 23(e)(1)(B); *see also* 2003 Advisory Committee Notes (“notice is not required  
6 when the settlement only binds the individual class representatives”).

7 THEREFORE, Phoebe hereby dismisses the action without prejudice as to the individual  
8 claims of Phoebe.

9  
10 Dated: July 12, 2013 METHOD LAW FIRM, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

11 By: /s/ Nena W. Wong  
12 NENA W. WONG  
13 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
PHOEBE MICRO, INC., a California corporation

14  
15  
16 Date: July 12, 2013

